

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. 051252-5201 6618 11/18/1999 DR. MARTIN MAASS 09/442,977 EXAMINER 7590 04/29/2004 SIEMENS CORPORATION MILLER, CARL STUART INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 186 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH ISELIN, NJ 08830 3747

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | 11 |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| Office Action Summary | 09/442,977 | MAASS, DR. MARTIN |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Carl S. Miller | 3747 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | |
| Status | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 February 2004</u> . | | |
| 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-9,14-20,33-41,43-47 and 49-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-9, 14-20, 33-41, 43-44, 46-47 and 49-53 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 45 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (| |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | te atent Application (PTO-152) |

Application/Control Number: 09/442,977

Art Unit: 3747

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klinger in view of Rembold ('718).

Klinger teaches a fuel rail system having two pumps and pump (17) includes a bypass valve (Figure 2) which is open when pump output is not required. The reference is somewhat ambiguous with regard to whether or not the valve is normally open and then driven closed by the solenoid, however this is one of two choices and it would have been obvious to pick this choice since the open state is the more common one (i.e. only closed for maximum output, not low or intermediate output).

Rembold teaches a fuel rail system very similar to the Klinger system but also including a return line connecting the fuel rail to the inlet of the high pressure pump (see Figure 10 and valve (74)). This method of refining the pressure regulation of the pump is common in the art and thus would have been obvious to incorporate into the Klinger system.

Claims 1-9, 14-20, 33-41, 43-44, 46-47 and 49-53 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed February 8,2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In particular, Claim 45 has been rejected upon further consideration of its limitations. Since the subject matter was indicated as allowable in the previous office action, this action has been made non-final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl S. Miller whose telephone number is 703-308-2653. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTHF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry YUEN, can be reached at 703-308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Miller/DI

April 19, 2004

Primary Examiner